

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,381	12/29/2004	Svenn Linde .	04235	6818	
23338	7590 05/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	N, SCHULTZ, DOUG	CYGAN, MICHAEL T			
1727 KING SUITE 105	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND	RIA, VA 22314		2855		
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.0			
Office Action Summan		10/518,381	LINDE, SVENN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Cygan	2855				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY INSTRUMENT OF THE MAILING THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	•			
Status			•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	•	action is non-final.		•			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_							
7) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			,			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) 🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f)				
_	2)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
	•						
Attachmen	t(s)	•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)	4.1			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/29/04</u> .	6) Other:	,,				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "Shaped" should not be capitalized. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Low (US 4,446,749). Low discloses the claimed arrangement for a plankton net, including net compartment enclosed by sieving member [54], member [12,56] which keeps the compartment entrance closed until sampling is to be conducted, and member [58] arranged to enclose a collected amount of water, where the member 12 is connected by hinge members [20a,22a], and each closing member is arranged to be tripped remotely; the member [12] is biased in a closed position by a biasing member. See entire disclosure, especially Figures 5 and 6a-d, and explanatory text.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,381

Art Unit: 2855

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Low (US 4,446,749) in view of Bourret (US 4,224,755). Low teaches the claimed invention, including a collection cup which is necessarily detachable, except for the net compartment being box-shaped. Bourret teaches a box shape for a plankton net; see Figure 1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a box shape as taught by Bourret in the invention taught by Low to form the shape of the net, since Bourret teaches that the shape is advantageously used for collecting plankton.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, since the prior art neither discloses nor fairly teaches the inward opening lid of claim 4 in combination with the other positively recited features.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,381

Art Unit: 2855

# •

Page 4

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMPLER